

**SANTA CLARA CITY COUNCIL MEETING
WEDNESDAY, MARCH 22, 2017
MINUTES**

THE CITY COUNCIL FOR THE CITY OF SANTA CLARA, WASHINGTON COUNTY, UTAH, met for a Regular Meeting on Wednesday, March 22, 2017 at 5:00 p.m. in the City Council Chambers of the Town Hall at 2603 Santa Clara Drive, Santa Clara, Utah.

Notice of the time, place and agenda of the meeting was provided to the Spectrum and to each member of the governing body by emailing a copy of the Notice and Agenda to the Spectrum and also, along with any packet information, to the mayor and each council member, at least two days before the meeting.

Present: Mayor Rick Rosenberg
Council Members: Herb Basso, Jerry Amundsen, Ken Sizemore, Jarett Waite, Mary Jo (Tode) Hafen
City Manager: Edward Dickie
Secretary: Gina Wathen

Others Present: Brock Jacobsen, Assistant City Manager; Jack Taylor, Public Works Director; Corey Bundy, Building Official; Brad Hayes, Parks Director; Dan Nelson, Fire Chief; Matt Ence, City Attorney; Bob Nicholson, City Planner; Cindy Frei; Charles Clayton; Ann Evans; Rex Edwards; Patty Edwards; Jared Bates; Bradi Frei; Greg Gillespie; Amy Stewert; Randy Frei; Randy F.; Dustin; Jay C.

1. **Call to Order:** Mayor Rosenberg called the meeting to order at 5:02 p.m.

2. **Opening Ceremony:**

- Pledge of Allegiance: Mary Jo (Tode) Hafen
- Opening Comments: Mary Jo (Tode) Hafen

3. **Communications and Appearances:**

A. General Citizen Communications:

1. Charlie Clayton spoke to the Council about having a public restroom put in by the Veterans Memorial and the cemetery. He said he is requesting just one that has a door lock on it so that people visiting the cemetery have a restroom.
 - Mayor Rosenberg asked Brad Hays about talking about it at the Budget Retreat and if this restroom is in the budget.
 - Herb Basso thought this was a good idea.
 - Ed Dickie, City Manager, reminded the Council that at the next Work Meeting, they will be discussing the budget and they can include this in that discussion.

4. **Working Agenda:**

A. Public Hearing(s):

1. The purpose of the Public Hearing is to receive public input and to discuss or take action for a Request to abandon a sewer easement for Paradise Village at Zion Ph. 6.

- Corey Bundy, Building and Zoning Official, said that part of the preliminary plat was to put the sewer lines in the roadway. Originally, the sewer easements went across the lots. They are requesting an abandonment of that old easement and the sewer lines have been rerouted into the street.

- Mayor Rosenberg stated that's where the sewer used to be, they realigned it as part of the subdivision so they are just asking for the old easement to be abandoned. He asked if there were any questions for Staff.

- Ken Sizemore asked if the abandonment has to happen before they can record this space.

- Mayor Rosenberg said yes.

5:06 p.m. Public Hearing Opened.

5:06 p.m. Public Hearing Closed.

B. General Business:

1. Request to abandon sewer easement for Paradise Village at Zion Ph. 6 and Approve Ordinance 2017-04. Presented by Corey Bundy, Building & Zoning Official.

Motion to Approve the Request to abandon sewer easement for Paradise Village at Zion Ph. 6 and Approve Ordinance 2017-04.

Motion by Ken Sizemore, seconded by Herb Basso.

Voting Aye: Tode Hafen, Jarett Waite, Jerry Amundsen, Ken Sizemore and Herb Basso.

Voting Nay: None.

Motion Carried.

2. Request Approval of Ordinance 2017-03, Amending the General Plan & Land Use Map from Historic Low Density to Historic Medium Density on 0.89 acres & Zone Change from R1-10 to RPD. Matt Ence, City Attorney.

- Matt Ence said that this is essentially a clean up item from last meeting. He said that the Council already approved both of these items but didn't have an ordinance for this at that time. This is to formalize that ordinance.

- Jarett Waite asked about the second "whereas" paragraph on the amendment that not all the residences are connected if that makes a difference.

- Mayor Rosenberg said there is three duplexes and two singles.

- Matt Ence said that he will make that correction.

Motion to Approve Ordinance 2017-03, Amending the General Plan & Land Use Map from Historic Low Density to Historic Medium Density on 0.89 acres & Zone Change from R1-10 to RPD with the corrections that will be made by Matt Ence.

Motion by Herb Basso, seconded by Jarett Waite.

Voting Aye: Jarett Waite, Jerry Amundsen, Ken Sizemore and Herb Basso.

Voting Nay: Tode Hafen.

Motion Carried.

3. Discussion for Proposed Amendment #3 to the Historic District Boundaries, and to the Historic District/Mix Use Zone. Presented by Bob Nicholson, City Planner.

- Bob Nicholson said that the Heritage Commission has been pretty busy over the last couple of years. He talked about their past projects. He said that there are a couple of things that have been on their agenda the last two meetings: discussion on making some changes to the boundaries (he showed Council the map). He said they wanted to walk the boundaries of the Historic District before they would make a recommendation. He said that what has been suggested was to shrink the boundaries of the Historic District to the properties that front Santa Clara Drive with a few exceptions. It might be easier to manage and make it truly unique and special. The other issue they have talked about is changing some of the permitted uses in the Historic District. One thing that has been considered is to limit residential dwellings within the Historic District to single-family homes. Those could be on smaller lots. The Heritage Commission suggested taking the wording "multi-family dwelling" out of the Ordinance and limiting it to "single-family dwelling". Those lots could be 6,000 square feet. He said that the Commission hasn't come to a vote on any of these issues.

- Mayor Rosenberg asked if this has gone to Planning Commission at all.

- Bob Nicholson said no.

- Tode Hafen said they are waiting for a full body and she doesn't know when that will be.

- Mayor Rosenberg asked why it was coming to Council already then.

- Bob Nicholson said that the Heritage Commission wanted to have a discussion on this and they wanted to give Council an update.

- Ken Sizemore said that his initial reaction to that idea of smaller residential lots fronting Santa Clara Drive is that we're encouraging more curb cuts and more conflicts with traffic as we go up and down the drive.

- Bob Nicholson read from the proposed amendment, "Existing lots may be developed at their existing size. Newly created lots shall be a minimum of 6,000 square feet." He said he doesn't know how many newly created lots we will see.

- Herb Basso said that this could be reflected in verbiage that the smaller lot would be behind and they could share the same curb/driveway.

- Mayor Rosenberg stated that he kind of agrees with Ken. He said there could be revisions for common parking. He asked if mixed use was still on the list.

- Bob Nicholson stated yes. He said that permitted use would be commercial and single-family so it can be mixed.

- Mayor Rosenberg asked if someone can still have residential upstairs of a commercial building and if it is limited to one.

- Bob Nicholson said he believes they can.

- Herb Basso said that it isn't limited now and he wouldn't want to limit it with this new definition of single-family. He said that this proposal makes it a little more affordable and gives different options of housing. He said he is not for only single-family units

downtown but he said we should find a way to objectively restrict so that there aren't too many multiple units on a property. He wants to give business owners some flexibility on being able to renovate and add living spaces above their business. He wanted to discuss how it can be limited or if there should be limits to multiple housing units downtown.

- Mayor Rosenberg said that his question for the Heritage Committee would be how many building permits have their been since the streetscape was done. Has there been any? He said there have been some remodels of existing homes but as far as new single-family homes he doesn't think there has been any since the streetscape was done. He said there doesn't seem to be an interest in behalf of the market.

- Herb Basso said that to make the older homes more affordable for a new buyer, they would want to do something different and that usually involves an additional development, a second unit, commercial or otherwise. That is a strong part of our General Plan for the Historic District. He said some lots are deep and have other uses. He asked for a copy of this proposal to be sent to the Council.

- Mayor Rosenberg said that Heritage Commission hasn't made any recommendations yet.

- Bob Nicholson said there has been a lot of discussion but nothing is finalized. He said the Heritage Commission is actually going to go and walk this before they come back with a recommendation.

- Herb Basso said that the Council should walk it too.

- Tode Hafen said that another thing that was talked about at the Heritage Commission was if there was a commercial component to it in some way.

- Herb Basso said he likes the idea of reevaluating the boundaries but what would be the purposes for the property owners and the City.

- Bob Nicholson said that there is a new modern subdivision called Summerwood and that is in the Historic District. He said is there a reason to put that in the Historic District. There are some other vacant properties that are in the District so the Heritage Commission is trying to weigh the pros and cons of moving the boundaries. The Historic District has some restrictions and some entitlements that other zoning doesn't have, commercial, residential. This would require a Public Hearing before the Planning Commission because it's an amendment to the zoning map. Heritage Commission will make their final recommendation to the Planning Commission and then they will hold a Public Hearing and then it will end up before the City Council.

- Mayor Rosenberg asked if it would have to go to the General Plan too. It would change the map on the General Plan.

- Bob Nicholson said he doesn't think it would have to go to the General Plan. We would have to look at the map to see how specific it is. He said that on the zoning map there are precise boundaries but he doesn't know if there is the same precision on the General Plan.

- Herb Basso said that he hopes that all the property owners get involved because somebody might want to keep that designation for the future.

- Ken Sizemore said he has a question about the procedure that has been followed so far. Has there been a discussion about why the current boundary was established where it is? Is there any record in the minutes about why the boundaries were drawn where they are.

- Bob Nicholson said he doesn't think that has been found and asked Tode.

- Tode Hafen said they haven't figured out why it was drawn where it was.

- Herb Basso said he remembers that a lot of that had to do with view, looking at the areas that would visually be included in the Historic District because of how the lots

were, the size of them.

- Ken Sizemore said that if this is going to proceed, we ought to make sure the record shows why the original boundaries were set and the findings that were given to change the boundaries.

- Bob Nicholson said it would be good to find out who was on the Heritage Commission when the original boundaries were set. It would be good to hear from those people.

- Tode Hafen asked if anyone even found a date when that happened.

- Bob Nicholson said he doesn't think anyone has found a date.

- Herb Basso said they have minutes for that. Kathleen Nielson was the Chair. He said he could come up with some names.

4. Discussion and/or Action to establish a Temporary Land Use Moratorium in the Santa Clara Historic District and Approve Ordinance 2017-02. Presented by Bob Nicholson, City Planner.

- Mayor Rosenberg said that this was a follow-up action to buy some time to consider changes to the Historic District boundaries and some of the uses. There is an Ordinance in Council's box. It's a temporary moratorium that would not allow any development approvals to be granted or issued for a period of time. If anyone wants to come in for zone changes that would have to wait. The longest this could be is for 6 months under State law.

- Tode Hafen asked if this would include any changes to current homes like if someone tears something down would it include that.

- Matt Ence, City Attorney, stated that this has an exception the way that it's currently written that if something can be approved without a change to the current zoning, then it can go forward.

- Mayor Rosenberg said that if they don't need a zone change they can move forward. If someone wanted to do a remodel on an existing home, it wouldn't stop that.

- Bob Nicholson stated that if it complies with the current zoning then it could go forward and the way it reads right now it says if a zone approval is sought for residential development, if the application for the zone approval complies with pending changes to Chapter 17.74 it could be approved. If someone wanted to do a duplex or something else like that they would have to wait until this is finalized.

- Herb Basso stated that there are about 15 places in the General Plan that refer to multiple housing including townhomes and specifically in the Historic District so when we want to consider whatever changes, restrictions, limitations that we look at it objectively. There are things we can use to limit the onslaught of townhomes down in the valley. He said he thinks there is room for some multiple housing and how that should be restricted remains to be seen. We can all put our minds together and make this right.

- Mayor Rosenberg said that this just puts us on notice that we have to have any changes worked out within 6 months.

- Herb Basso said that we should get on this and do some research and talk about this at Work Meetings and it could involve some changes to the General Plan.

- Ken Sizemore asked if Council is comfortable that this 6 months is going to give us the time to make the deliberations that need to be made and get the reports to Council so we can move ahead.

- Herb Basso said the he is for the redefinition of multiple units in the Historic District and he thinks there is room for it but not a sprawl of them. It needs to be done legally and fairly.
- Jarett Waite asked about paragraph 3.2. Do we need the language about 6,000 square foot lots? Is that all part of that pending changes? Is that why that is in there? Are we opening the door for lots of 6,000 square feet by passing this?
- Mayor Rosenberg said that the City doesn't have a 6,000 square foot lot zone. He suggested taking that whole section out. A person can't require a zone change. As long as they don't require a zone change they can move forward. It limits it to just that. To build any multiple family building it would require a zone change. They could still come in with a mixed-use plan. The next paragraph needs to be reworded a little bit too.

**Motion to Approve a Temporary Land Use Moratorium in the Santa Clara Historic District and Approve Ordinance 2017-02 removing Section 3.2 and modifying the following paragraph.
Motion by Tode Hafen, seconded by Jarett Waite.**

- Herb Basso stated that on Item 3 it refers to 17.74030 Permitted Uses. That is not part of this motion, correct?
- Mayor Rosenberg said no, this is a zoning issue. If the zoning on the property lets a person proceed, then they can. They can't ask for a zone change to do something different.
- Herb Basso said he gets that but he wants to make sure that it's not part of this motion.
- Jarett Waite said that Section 3.2 is being stricken so it does not refer to 17.74.
- Jerry Amundsen said that there are two Section 3's in the document. That ought to be fixed.
- Mayor Rosenberg asked Matt Ence to make note of that.

Voting Aye: Ken Sizemore, Jerry Amundsen, Tode Hafen, Herb Basso and Jarett Waite.

Voting Nay:

Motion Carried.

- Herb Basso asked for Staff to schedule a Work Meeting to get this discussion moving.
5. Request Approval of Resolution 2017-04R to allow Mayor & City Council to purchase the City Offered Health, Dental and Vision Insurance beginning April 1, 2017. Presented by Ed Dickie, City Manager.
- Ed Dickie said the Resolution states that Council is okay with City Council to purchase at their own cost any insurance provided to the City, the City Health Plan provided by PEHP, the health, dental and vision insurance. The vision and dental insurance can come out of Council's stipends. The health insurance would have to be paid for personally and a check would have to be given to the City each month by the 10th to pay for the premium.
 - Mayor Rosenberg said that would be no additional cost to the City. These costs would be borne by the Mayor and Council.
 - Ken Sizemore asked if they could buy dental and not health insurance.
 - Ed Dickie said that each one can be bought separately.

Motion to Approve Resolution 2017-04R to allow Mayor and City Council to purchase the City Offered Health, Dental and Vision Insurance beginning April 1, 2017.

Motion by Herb Basso, seconded by Jerry Amundsen.

- Ed Dickie said that if Council wants to purchase it now, they need to get with Chris Shelley as soon as they can so it will start April 1. They have this opportunity now but there won't be another opportunity until July 1. And after that it's every July 1 to opt in unless you are a new Council Member coming in and then they can get it January 1 when they are sworn in.

Voting Aye: Jerry Amundsen, Tode Hafen, Jarett Waite, Herb Basso and Ken Sizemore.

Voting Nay: None

Motion Carried.

6. Consider Appeal of the purchase offer price for the Gilbraying Inc. property affected by the landslide mitigation project. Presented by Matt Ence, City Attorney.

- Matt Ence said that the City has been looking to acquire property in conjunction with the FEMA Landslide Mitigation Grant and one of those properties is the property owned by Gilbraying Inc. It is off of Crestview Drive. It's a flag lot. It's an unimproved parcel. In the estimates given to FEMA in the original Grant request, the estimate of the value of the property had been estimated by Rosenberg and Associates as \$25,000. That was based solely on the Washington County assessed value. There was no appraisal of the property at that time because it was just for purposes of the initial application. Since then we have gone through the process of each of these properties to get an appraisal. He showed a copy of the appraisal to the Council. (Item 6). The appraisal came in from Morley and McConkie as \$15,000 and with the authorization of the City Council, that was the offer the City made. That offer was not accepted. It has since lapsed. If the Council wants to make a new offer it would be a new offer to the owner of the property. Mr. Gillespie is here at the meeting representing Gilbraying Inc. to talk to the Council about the value of the property.

- Greg Gillespie said that when they initially got the offer it was for \$25,000 and we told that was what the FEMA money was set aside for based on the property value, the tax value. He said he did not want an appraisal. He said that the value of \$15,000 doesn't seem fair. He didn't think that \$25,000 seemed fair. He asked if they don't sell it, is the problem going to be fixed and could they keep the property and do something with it later.

- Mayor Rosenberg said that is a good question. Right now the only way they could do something with the property is if they completed enough geotechnical studies or stabilization measures on their own that they could get it signed up as a clean lot. Right now it has been identified as an extremely high-risk area so if they were to come in for a building permit on the lot today, they would have to go and get all that information first.

- Greg Gillespie asked about when the City finishes the project and fixes the problem. Then what. Does the City have to buy this property to finish the project?

- Mayor Rosenberg said that it was put in the Grant because of the high-risk. The geo-tech recommendations haven't come back as far as the work that has to be done as far as

the stabilization site. So can that work be done without this property? He said he doesn't know. The intent was that the property would be purchased and the property would be regarded and lowered and reduce the over burden of the material on top of the slide. That would help stabilize the slide. Can the slide be stabilized without reducing the overburden? He doesn't have the answer to that until the geo-tech gets finished with their portion of their work.

- Greg Gillespie asked about the time frame on that.

- Mayor Rosenberg said that we are hoping to have it soon. So if this is something that Mr. Gillespie wants to wait and get that answered when that report comes out, he can totally understand that. Right now we have closings that are going to happen in the next few months. We can extend it to give Mr. Gillespie time to get that report out and look at it. If we don't obtain properties that may eliminate some of the project from being able to be done. When the report comes back we could sit down and go through it and see what we think is going to happen and give Mr. Gillespie an answer to his question.

- Greg Gillespie said that would be the best approach to see where it all comes out. He asked if everyone's property saw the same effect.

- Mayor Rosenberg said that some of them were higher and some of them were lower.

- Matt Ence said that it's a little bit different on this one than the other ones because this one doesn't have a home on it. The properties that actually have a home on them, we actually had to ask the appraiser to give us a hypothetical value in the absence of the landslide. That was the only way we could get a value out of those properties. In this case they gave us one valuation. The money that FEMA has set aside and approved for the City is for acquisition of these properties as voluntary acquisitions. That means that the City comes to some agreement on a purchase price with the property owners and purchase the properties. None of the money that FEMA has approved could or would be used for any kind of a condemnation. This money from FEMA would be based on an agreement with Mr. Gillespie. If the geo-tech report comes back that says that this property is critical to the project then the City has to make a decision whether to go forward with some kind of a condemnation to bring the property into the project and some money outside of the money that has been set aside by FEMA. He said he thinks the Council wants to come to some kind of an agreement with Mr. Gillespie on purchasing the property but it is totally voluntary and if he doesn't want to, there is nothing that can be done to force him.

- Greg Gillespie said he is hoping to keep it and it could be potentially fixed.

- Mayor Rosenberg said that it might come back that the work that is done is part of the Grant combined with some additional work that the owners could do on their own and it could become developable property. He said he thinks it's wise on Mr. Gillespie's end to wait and see what the report says. It will be after the first of June before any work starts out there. There is about 60 days that the City can go through this negotiation period with Mr. Gillespie.

- Matt Ence said that the other two properties that are currently under contract will both be closed by June 1. That is the window we are looking at. Once we get that geo-tech report, it will be made available to Mr. Gillespie and we will want to do something within that time period.

5. Reports:

A. Mayor / Council Reports

Jerry Amundsen:

- Nothing to report.

Tode Hafen:

- Nothing to report.

Jarett Waite:

- Went to the CEC meeting yesterday. A new Chair was elected, Mayor Nielson from Washington City. There were some substantial changes made to the CEC. They voted to cancel the contract with Southern Utah Live and instead the Staff is going to make content available on YouTube or Face Book or some other social media platform. There have been some exhaustive studies done on this and it was a pretty clear decision to cancel the contract. It should make available of their content much better. Southern Utah Live didn't have transcoding. It will save a lot of Staff time. He said they also had a discussion about the future of the CEC. It came down to none of the cities putting any more money into the CEC, which means make due with the money that is there and the plan is to pull out of the CEC once the debt is paid down. That is within 2 years. They are going to offer more of updates of cities. He said he set up a meeting with Marcus. He would like to schedule out how the City uses the time this year. He said he thought about doing a 411 to Swiss Days. He will be getting with Marcus next week.

- He spoke at the Dixie Republican Forum today. He said he talked with Victor Iverson and he said he was reticent about the shooting range because it was right next door to city limits. He said they are having problems with the current shooting range in Hurricane because of residential around it. He's afraid that if the shooting range is put where it's scheduled to go right now there will be the same problem. We should get back with him about whether or not we will build there.

- Mayor Rosenberg said that the County has selected Jones and DeMille to do the design on the shooting range and they have a person on staff who is a projectile analysis specialist from the military that looks at everything as far as projectile and are hoping that will establish buffer around it. The RP&P would include the buffer. The buffer could get so big that it could eat up a lot of the developable property that is out there so the shooting range would have a greater impact on the ability to develop out there. The problem is the adjacent areas are all critical areas of environmental concern so they are not available for it. He said they are going to look at those buffers pretty close because we don't want a lot of development out there. This may be a shooting range that works for 20 years and then it's gone. It may move again at that time. There is a little bit of concern. Commissioner Enstrom is in favor of it. He is the commissioner that is pushing it. Commissioner Cox is the same way.

- Wants to boost the post on FaceBook of the Sweet Sixteen Appearance of the City. Everyone needs to vote. Try it on Chrome. Boosting a post means you pay FaceBook to put your post in other people's timelines that aren't your followers. It is a great way for people to learn we are on Facebook. Could we put this in our budget.

- Ed Dickie said if Council is okay with it, we will boost this post for no more than \$10.99 or \$25 maximum.

- Jarett said he suggests \$25.

Herb Basso:

- Nothing to report.

Ken Sizemore:

- He missed DTEC. Ed Dickie put some notes in Council's box. The big issue that was on the agenda was Zero Fatalities. Someone from the State gave a presentation on it.
- Ed Dickie said they are trying to get, mandate MPO's have some kind of program that they develop, quantify and have some plan to reduce fatalities. Fatalities have been going up because of texting. They really want to concentrate on that.
- The Air Quality Task Force didn't meet.
- They had a preconstruction meeting this afternoon on the Veterans Memorial. The rough grading will start next week. A couple of trees will be relocated and the conduit for the electrical will be put in and the sub grading will be done. The flagpoles are on order and the flags are already here. Lily White was at this meeting and we have everything worked out in terms of how everything will structure. We discussed not having a good turnout on Memorial Day because people go out of town so we decided to set Thursday, May 25 at 7:00 as the ribbon cutting for the memorial.
- Herb Basso suggested having a little memorial for groundbreaking to give another reason for excitement and exposure to recruit more donations.
- Cindy Frei asked about putting the flags up and then just leaving them. It is so impressive. Can they go in sooner.
- Brad Hays said that the City can't keep the flags up for a long time because we can't turn the water on so we couldn't do all of the flags. Charlie puts the flags on the graves of the Veterans. The flags would go up on Thursday and taken down on Tuesday.

Mayor Rosenberg:

- Nothing to report. There won't be any flooding on the Santa Clara at all.
- Ed Dickie said that we have failed to budget in a car for the new Code Enforcement Officer. He needs a vehicle. He said we need between \$20-22 thousand to get a used Tacoma for Corey and then his vehicle would go to the Code Enforcement Officer. The Court would help a little for this cost. He is looking for a nod from the Council and it will be talked about at the Budget Meeting. There is some money in the budget.
- Herb Basso asked about getting a new warrantied car.
- Ed Dickie said they would prefer the Tacoma. This would be worth more money in a few years. We use vehicles until their dead. It's a better value.
- Council agrees.

7. Approval of Claims and Minutes:

- Mar. 8, 2017 City Council Meeting Minutes
- Claims through March 22, 2017
- Jarett Waite stated that he didn't see the minutes in the drop box.
- Ed Dickie said that if the minutes aren't their, they will have to be tabled until the next meeting.

Motion to Approve the claims through March 22, 2017 and table approval of the March 8, 2017 City Council Meeting Minutes to the next meeting.

Motion by Jarett Waite, seconded by Herb Basso.

Voting Aye: Herb Basso, Ken Sizemore, Jerry Amundsen, Jarett Waite and Tode Hafen.

Voting nay: None

Motion Carried.

8. Calendar of Events

- April 5, 2017 City Council Work Meeting
- April 5-7, 2017 Utah League of Cities & Towns
- April 12, 2017 City Council Regular Meeting
- April 26, 2017 City Council Regular Meeting

6. Executive Session:

Motion to go into Executive Session.

Motion by Herb Basso.

Seconded by Jarett Waite with all members present voting aye.

- Entered Executive Session at 6:19 p.m.
- Reconvened at 6:53 p.m.

9. Adjournment:

Motion to adjourn by Ken Sizemore.

Seconded by Jarett Waite with all members present voting aye.

- Ed Dickie asked if he could move the Executive Session to item number 8 on the agenda from now on so it would be right before adjournment. Everyone agreed.

Meeting Adjourned at 6:54 p.m.

Chris Shelley – City Recorder

Date Approved: _____