

**SANTA CLARA CITY COUNCIL MEETING  
WEDNESDAY, JULY 8, 2015  
MINUTES**

THE CITY COUNCIL FOR THE CITY OF SANTA CLARA, WASHINGTON COUNTY, UTAH, met for a Regular Meeting on Wednesday, July 8, at 5:00 p.m. in the City Council Chambers of the Town Hall at 2603 Santa Clara Drive, Santa Clara, Utah.

Notice of the time, place and agenda of the meeting was provided to the Spectrum and to each member of the governing body by emailing a copy of the Notice and Agenda to the Spectrum and also, along with any packet information, to the mayor and each council member, at least two days before the meeting.

Present: Mayor Rick Rosenberg  
Council Members: Herb Basso, Jerry Amundsen, Ken Sizemore, David Whitehead, Mary Jo (Tode) Hafen  
City Manager: Edward Dickie  
City Staff: Lisa Bundy

Others Present: Jack Taylor, Public Works Director; Corey Bundy, Building Official; Brad Hayes, Parks Director; Dan Nelson, Fire Chief; Matt Ence, City Attorney; Charlie Clayton; Shaun Alldredge, Julie Applegate; Mari Smith.

1. **Call to Order:** Mayor Rosenberg called the meeting to order at 5:03 p.m.

2. **Opening Ceremony:**

- Pledge of Allegiance: David Whitehead
- Opening Comments: David Whitehead

3. **Communications and Appearances:**

A. General Citizen Communications: None

4. **Working Agenda:**

B. General Business:

1. Request Approval of the Solar Feed-in Tariff Policy, Resolution 2015-09R, and Approval of the Solar Power Purchase Agreement. Presented by Jack Taylor, Public Works Director.

- Jack Taylor, Public Works Director, stated that the main changes from the existing policy will be that right now customers can put up a 10KW on their home and with this new policy they can put up to 100KW on their home. But, he said, the city doesn't want homes to become unsightly or an issue with their neighbors.

- Herb Basso asked if there was a process that the customer has to go through to get their design approved.
- Jack Taylor stated that there was and it was the same thing that has been done in the past, they will still have to come through the city for approval. He also said the other item that changes is instead of being given 8.5 cents for every kilowatt hour they produce, the city will, on the class 1's from 1KW to 100KW will give 5 cents per kilowatt hour. And in the class 100KW and larger the city will give them 4 cents per kilowatt hour. He said that after the discussion that the City Council had at the Work Meeting, David Whitehead brought up that he was concerned that the city was going to have the customer sign a 20 year contract and then if the city's whole sale power costs went up, would it be fair to continue to charge the customer 5 cents per kilowatt hour. Then quoting from Resolution No.2015-09R Jack read: "The city will look at an average whole sale power cost excluding transmissions and may re-adjust the fixed-price standard offer for both classes, whether negative or positive, during the 20 year Power Purchase Agreement." He went on to state that the city has no obligation to review the power costs more than once a year. He stated that one of the reasons that the city wants to go to a 20 year contract with 5 cents was that the city has power purchases that they went out and built power plants and the city has 20 year bonds that they are paying on and that is what it costs the city, 5 cents per kilowatt hour. We are not completely on our capacity of the units that were purchased. The city has surplus power but we also have power that we have to go out into the market and purchase. It gives the opportunity to the residents to put the investment of solar panels on their home. If the power costs do go up we feel it would be fair to the customers to give them a fair break on their solar.
- David Whitehead stated that he was glad that Jack was adding that into the language. He said his concern was that if power costs double, keeping it at 5 cents, the percent rate return would drop by 50%. This way it gives the city the opportunity to raise that rate that we pay back to the customers that have solar if it does increase. The city's covering their costs of what it costs to have the power available to their customers who have solar. There is cost that we have to the city whether the customer has solar or not. Because we have to provide the power to the customer with solar if for some reason their solar system doesn't work.
- Herb Basso stated that seeing the other side is if the city's power costs 5 cents and were buying power that costs 5 cents from a neighboring community and the costs go up it will affect the costs we sell power especially if our community system costs go up. We should have to increase the power sales as we will with every resident.
- Jack Taylor said that Matt Ence also brought up a concern with this. If the market would happen to change, and we looked at what our average power costs were and we brought that into the City Council and asked them what they wanted to do and then all of the sudden the market came back down. That's why the wording is that the city may look at it every year and within the City Council's approval and reasoning, the city will determine where that rate should be set. We want to make sure that we are fair to the customer, that we are fair to those people who don't have solar so that they're not subsidizing at all and that the utility is still left whole.
- David Whitehead stated that if it is averaging out the rate over a whole year, it will eliminate some of the peaks and valleys.
- Jack Taylor agreed. He stated that this gives the customer hope that if power rates do increase, then they will get a benefit of it. It won't be the city that gets the benefit, it will

be the customer.

- Matt Ence, City Attorney, added that he agreed with what Jack was saying. He stated that he thinks giving the City Council ability to review this once a year, if it chooses to, is good because it both protects the customer and protects the city. It increases the possibility that there will be public pressure to raise the rates up as the market changes. So there could be times that could be a tough decision to make if you have a number of parties putting pressure either way. He stated that he believes one of the most important things for the City Council to consider is that whatever the city does, you have to run it like a business and make sure that you are covering the bottom line, that the city is being fair to all of the power users in the city, not just giving a benefit or a subsidy to those who would like to invest in putting solar on their home. He stated that he feels that Jack has taken the right approach.

- Herb Basso asked if this contract, this agreement, goes toward other alternative energy sources: geothermal, wind, etc.?

- Jack Taylor stated that was correct. He stated that Matt brought up an interesting question. He said concerning those with 100KW or larger, does the city want them to just sign the power purchase agreement and small residential units from 1 to 100KW just have it so they pay 5 cents per kilowatt hour and the same deal with the city looking at that each year. The city could do it either way.

- Matt Ence stated that the question is with the Class 2 larger type generation system. It's a lot larger investment. They may need more of a guarantee on what the city is going to return on the rates. He stated though that the main concern right now is with the small systems. That's where the demand is currently.

- Jack Taylor stated that the other change that will be now is instead of one net meter that will be on the house, there will be two meters. One meter will register the usage of the home and the other meter will register every kilowatt hour that comes off the solar system. The city will provide that meter at no cost to the customer. The city will maintain it and will install it on the system.

- Mayor Rosenberg asked about when a home sells, what would happen.

- Matt Ence stated that the contract runs with the property. Whatever agreement is in place at the time the property sells will stay in place. The city will honor the agreement as long as it is in effect no matter who the homeowner is.

- Jack Taylor stated that if the customer doesn't honor the contract then the city wouldn't give them any credit for their resource. It would be deducted off. It would be metered by two meters and they wouldn't get any credit for it. He stated that also in the contract that it states that it is first come, first served and so if there is a circuit in the power system that's overloaded and we have too much solar in one area then the city has the right to reject that. It could possibly be a problem in the future. We need to look at what resources we have already purchased the number of years out and how much of that solar will allow to come in because if it starts pushing our resources that we have already purchased into the market we might be buying it at 60 dollars a megawatt hour and turning around and giving it back to the market at 30. The city will have to continue to watch.

- Ken Sizemore asked if Jack can help the City Council understand, with this new language, how Santa Clara compares to neighboring municipalities.

- Jack Taylor stated that some of the other communities are in the process of changing their agreements. Some are looking at charging a higher base rate.

- Ken Sizemore asked that in terms of solar and accommodating this increased demand out there Santa Clara is on the forefront in Washington County with this new language?
- Jack Taylor stated that everybody has different policies. He stated that a lot of people are looking seriously at the feed-in tariff.
- Matt Ence stated that the form for the contract and the policy came through UAMPS. The city has adapted with some of their own concerns. But this is being looked at throughout UAMPS.
- Shaun Alldredge, Legends Solar, stated that his company doesn't do a lot of business in Santa Clara ratio-wise. He stated his motivation to be at the meeting was not to save his business or to make sure that his business is making money. He said that he was at the meeting because his business has been doing this longer than most and he wants to represent the industry. He stated that he believes it is not in the customer's best interest to do the feed-in tariff. He said that if he were to show the City Council, for their own home, the return on the investment with the current system where you were to pay 3, 5, 10 dollars a month as far as an increased base rate because you were a solar customer and I showed you the return rate as opposed to this feed-in tariff, you would never do the feed-in tariff because it would double the time for return investment. He stated that one of the things he heard at the meeting was about the second meter the city is going to provide. He questioned the cost on that. He feels that it's not making a lot of sense. He asked about when the city is already spending money up front, is the city making sure they receive more dollars or are they just trying to not lose money? He stated that he doesn't want the city to lose money. He also stated that he doesn't want the city to take the easy road because the agreement is already written up when there are much better options for the customers, for the citizens available. He asked if, before putting it to a vote, the city would be willing to review other options as far as what that load is on a monthly basis for the system size and look at charging the customers those amounts because it is a much better return. He stated that his company would be happy to come and show the City Council what this return would look like.
- Mari Smith, Executive Officer, SUHBA, stated the point that she wanted to make was that SUHBA had requested a copy of this report and had never received it. She requested putting this off until the next City Council meeting. She would like to get a copy of the report and review it before then. She stated that they would like to look at the other municipalities and consider the options. She said that there could be a better solution out there. She also said that it is important to get as much information as possible. She said it may not be the best time to vote on this.
- Jack Taylor stated that her assistant did receive the email and the information that was required. He stated that the assistant sent a note back saying "thank you". He said that Harmons is going to take out a permit, possibly Monday, and so it is important to make sure that the city has this approved before they take out a permit. We can go back and look at this. We can change the policy. If we have to change the policy again, we can in the future. He stated that he believes it is a good policy and that the City Council has looked at it a number of times
- Herb Basso talked about the comment about better options for the residents of Santa Clara. There might be but we need to make a decision that based on what is best for all the city and by the city he said he means all the residents not just the solar residents and of course the city, the government themselves. He stated that he didn't want any subsidy, any weight being carried by the majority of residents that depend on the city power to pay

for somebody else's power or the use of the system.

- Mayor Rosenberg had a question for Shaun Alldredge. He wanted to know what was happening in the industry at this time. He asked if costs were decreasing and sales were increasing.

- Shaun Alldredge stated that the solar sales have been exponential. That the industry has been growing very fast. Their company has provided a lot of jobs. He said that there will be an influx of installs because the Federal tax credit is scheduled to end at the end of 2016. They are trying to extend that deadline.

- Mayor Rosenberg asked if the cost of the system is dropping.

- Shaun Alldredge stated that they are coming down. He said that a lot of communities and power companies that are looking at this feed-in tariff and knowing that it's not a good thing for solar companies, there's been a huge emphasis on how to block this with technology or get completely off the grid. That's what's being worked on.

- David Whitehead stated that he personally looked into solar last year and ran the numbers. He said for him it would be about a 14 year return. He said that looking at the new numbers it would be about a 20 year return if he were to do solar. Solar panels seem to be more efficient now than they were a few years ago. He said he imagines that the technology will continue to increase. He feels that the real problem is in the batteries because there is no real way to store the power and use it to any great efficiency. So, he stated, looking at both sides of this as a consumer and someone on the City Council, you have to look at what's best for the community. And those that are purchasing solar can't expect others in the city to subsidize their system. He feels that this is a fair way and the way that this is going to end up going in the future.

- Matt Ence stated that this discussion demonstrates why this is so complicated because the city is normally running the power system and trying to judge the future power needs and contract for your power supplies that you think you're going to need in the future and cover your peaks with outside supplies. Now we're adding an additional layer of complexity where we can have residents now adding to the supply of the city where the city may not be necessarily be asking for. He stated that he thinks that the policy that Jack proposed and put before the council is a fairly common sense ration way to deal with that in a way that allows that to happen but still protects the city from the adverse effects of that kind of thing. Over time this will have to be fine-tuned. This gives the council the opportunity to adjust the price every year and to fine-tune it. He said this is a good policy for where the city is at right now, and that the contract was a good form. He said he supports everything that Jack has proposed.

- Tode Hafen stated that she can't imagine that with the way technology is advancing that this contract will live out its twenty years without a change. She said that there could even be a time that this is rewritten. She felt like a lot of thought has gone in to this and it is better to have it in place and the city to continue to be alert. She said as other things come about they should be open minded and take a look at them but she feels that this policy should be put in place.

- David Whitehead asked if Jack can redo the resolution so that it has the new language in it and Jack said that he would.

**\*\*\*\*Motion to Approve the Solar Feed-in Tariff Policy, Resolution 2015-09R and the Solar Power Purchase Agreement subject to the changes that were discussed.  
Motion by Tode Hafen, seconded by David Whitehead.**

**Voting Aye: Tode Hafen, David, Whitehead, Jerry Amundsen, Ken Sizemore and Herb Basso.**

**Voting Nay: None.**

**Motion Carried.**

- Mayor Rosenberg asked Mari if she would like to provide some additional feedback.
- 2. Discussion and/or Approval of the Interlocal Environmental Mitigation Impact Fee Ordinance 2015-15 between Washington County and Santa Clara City. Presented by Matt Ence, City Attorney.
  - Matt Ence stated that twenty years ago the tortoise habitat was created county-wide. It was implemented for the purpose of supporting that effort and impact fee that was contributed across the county by new development. The time has now come for each of the municipalities to re-implement that impact fee. The request for the city to do this has come from the county. The city was asked to support the continuation of the tortoise habitat that currently exists. There are no changes to the way it will operate in the future. The city is reauthorizing the impact fee. It's one that is collected by the city and forwarded on to the county for application to the tortoise habitat.
  - Ken Sizemore asked if the amount changes at all.
  - Matt Ence said that the amount doesn't change and it's reimplementation of the same fee.
  - David Whitehead asked if anyone from the county had looked at the numbers of this. And how it's being collected, where the money is going to and how it's being used.
  - Matt Ence stated that he doesn't know. He doesn't know what kind of oversight they've had or whether it's been reviewed. He said the county attorney's office requested that each municipality go ahead and reauthorize the fee as it currently stands. He said he would be happy to look into it though before the city takes any action.
  - Mayor Rosenberg asked when it expires.
  - Matt Ence stated that it has expired already. The county has re-implemented it already and the city is expressing its support for that fee and continuing to collect it in behalf of the county.
  - Herb Basso asked where the fee was collected. He stated that he would like to see where this fee is going.
  - Matt Ence suggested that the best thing would be for someone from the county to come and answer these questions for the City Council.
  - Mayor Rosenberg thinks that this is a great thing but he believes it would be helpful for the Council to hear an accounting of what the funds are used for so the City Council knows. Developers often ask. He stated that they could set a meeting up for a work meeting.
  - Herb Basso stated that there are federal standards that are more costly.
  - Corey Bundy asked about the \$250 building fees. Is that the fee that we're paying on the building permits? Is that part of the \$1,000 dollars being paid for each home?
  - Ed Dickie, City Manager, stated that yes it's also the \$8,000 that Harmons is paying. Residential is \$700 for a \$350,000 home.
  - Mayor Rosenberg stated that it's .2% of the total construction value. It's \$250 for every acre in a platted subdivision plus .2% of the total construction value of the permit.
  - Herb Basso suggested giving some questions to Matt so he can pass them on to the county so they will be prepared when they come.

**\*\*\*\*Motion to Table Item #2, Interlocal Environmental Mitigation Impact Fee Ordinance 2015-15 between Washington County and Santa Clara City until the County can be in attendance.**

**Motion by Ken Sizemore, seconded by Herb Basso.**

**Voting Aye: David Whitehead, Jerry Amundsen, Tode Hafen, Ken Sizemore and Herb Basso.**

**Voting nay: None**

**Motion Carried.**

3. Discussion and/or Action to Approve Ordinance 2015-14, an Ordinance amending Title 3, Chapter 3.04.080 “Waiver” of the Municipal Code. Presented by Matt Ence, City Attorney.

- Matt Ence stated that he wanted to make sure that the ordinance allowed the city to do what was proposed. The state impact fee code provides that impact fee waivers can be granted for general public purposes as long as it is identified a public purpose and as long as the government entity identifies an alternative source to pay for the impact fees that are being waived. The city’s ordinance was not exactly consistent with that in that our ordinance only provided that the city could give waivers for affordable housing or for schools. The proposed change to the ordinance brings the city’s ordinance in line with the state impact fee code and allows the city to go forward with the waiver to Harmons as has been proposed.

- Mayor Rosenberg asked if the state was included in the old ordinance.

- Matt Ence stated that it wasn’t. He said that the same reasons you could grant a waiver under the state code are now provided in our ordinance.

**\*\*\*\*Motion to Approve Ordinance 2015-14, Amending Title 3, Chapter 3.04.080 “Waiver” of the Municipal Code.**

**Motion by David Whitehead, seconded by Jerry Amundsen.**

**Voting Aye: Herb Basso, Ken Sizemore, Jerry Amundsen, David Whitehead and Tode Hafen.**

**Voting nay: None**

**Motion Carried.**

4. Request Approval for the adjustment of the Harmons Grocery Store Impact Fees as per Ordinance 2015-14 above. Presented by Edward Dickie, City Manager.

- Ed Dickie stated that the City Council discussed this quite a bit at the last meeting. He recommends that per ordinance that the City Council just approved that the City Council is okay with adjusting the impact fees that Harmons will be paying the city 32% of all the impact fees which will be \$453,993.96. Part of the reasoning for that ordinance is that they feel that this is a huge economic benefit to the city and to the residents in taxes, jobs, more services, etc. The Harmons project alone, the fuel station, the retail hardware store should add 50% more of what we currently get. That’s roughly about \$400,000 to \$450,000. With the retail it could bring it up to about \$600,000. That’s annual tax revenue. There are three more pads which will provide more impact fees. This is a great benefit to the city. The project will bring additional commercial to the immediate area increasing the city’s tax base substantially. Many residents won’t have to travel as far to purchase groceries and other services thus saving fuel each month and reducing the

overall pollution being emitted into the air. Harmons alone will bring in over 200 new jobs not to mention the other four pads on the Harmons property or the retail hardware store which is adjacent to the grocery store and other commercial that this project will bring to the area. Ed recommends that the City Council approve the waiver of fees or the adjustment in the impact fees to 32% of the fees.

- David Whitehead asked if Jack had looked at the utility fees to make sure that the city doesn't have to purchase any additional water tanks, major transformers or other things that the city has to bond for.

- Jack Taylor stated that the city does need to purchase a new power transformer. He hopes that the impact fees will cover the costs. He doesn't feel that the city will have to bond for it. They would like to get the transformer before they ever lose one. He said they are working on it. He feels they are okay on water and no problem with sewers. He said he doesn't see any other issues.

- David Whitehead asked if the hardware store is a for sure deal.

- Ed Dickie said that they don't want to tell the city for sure but they are in negotiations right now.

- Ken Sizemore asked how the city will react to the commercial development that is going to come in the future around that development when they come and want to negotiate a waiver. What's going to be the difference between the public purpose is that Harmons is generating that justifies this waiver verses what happens across the street.

- Ed Dickie stated that it depends on what comes.

- Matt Ence stated that Harmons is laying the ground work for all other growth. He feels like that is the difference. Everyone that comes afterwards is coming because of Harmons.

- Ken Sizemore stated that the city needs to be ready for when that person comes in and says, "Alright, you gave Harmons a waiver, I want a waiver as well."

- Ed Dickie stated that the city will be prepared for that.

- Matt Ence recommended that whoever makes the motion include in the motion the comments by Ed as actual findings by the City Council supporting the motion so it's clear that we formally make a record of that.

**\*\*\*\*Motion to Approve the Adjustment of the Harmons Grocery Store Impact Fees as per Ordinance 2015-14 and include the staff report from the City Manager for the findings of the approval of that waiver.**

**Motion by Ken Sizemore, seconded by Herb Basso.**

**Voting Aye: Ken Sizemore, Jerry Amundsen, Tode Hafen, Herb Basso and David Whitehead.**

**Voting Nay: None**

**Motion Carried.**

## **5. Reports:**

### **A. Mayor / Council Reports**

Jerry Amundsen:

- o Stated that he gave a solid waste update a couple of weeks ago. That information has been sent by the solid waste attorney to Mayor Rosenberg and to everybody else. He stated that he thinks that will be coming up on an agenda in the near future to discuss.

He said that the Flood Control Board met yesterday. The Flood Control district took ownership of large retention and debris basins in Washington City because they are regional in nature and discussed that Santa Clara City should acquire liability insurance for those types of facilities. Some of the money that is sent to the district each year will go toward insurance now. He asked the mayor if our detention basin would impact more than Santa Clara City if it were to overflow

- Mayor Rosenberg stated that it is a regional basin. He said they would be willing to sign it over to the flood control authority. He stated that they have accepted Warner Valley detention basin, the Gypsum Wash detention basin and the Stigy Basin. The primary reason that the Flood Control Authority accepted ownership of the property was that prior to that it was controlled by irrigation companies who weren't doing any of the maintenance or anything. That's part of the reason why they have reached the condition they are in. It was kind of a back in to the corner to get the funding. They had to assume the ownership. Then they got the funding for the RCS so the cost for the flood control, for those upgrades, is minimal. But during the process they took over ownership.

Washington City is still maintaining them so the cost to the city is still there but the insurance is going to be via the Flood Control Authority. The Mayor asked that, with the City Council's support, he would like to push the Flood Control Authority to accept the Lower Tuachan Wash retention basin the same way.

- Jerry Amundsen stated that the city would still maintain it but they would have ownership and liability.

- Ken Sizemore asked what was considered ownership. Does it go clear up into the arboretum?

- Mayor Rosenberg stated that it would probably be the high water mark which would probably follow the wash. There could be an agreement as part of the process. It would take and put a second insurance policy on that structure.

- Jerry Amundsen stated that the other item that came up was a presentation on changes to the storm water pollution prevention requirements that have hit the cities. He said it gets more difficult each time. Jack will probably have to deal with it along with the limited staff that the city has that would inspect and review those things. It's kind of a wait and see to what the impacts will be. There may be a need for additional staff to cover it. Jack has his storm water plan in place.

#### Tode Hafen:

- Stated that the seed display for the county fair is in production. The theme this year is something about super heroes.

#### David Whitehead:

- Stated that they had their first meeting concerning West Nile virus. Nothing down here yet.

#### Herb Basso:

- He stated there was nothing from the EDC. He mentioned that the LDS 1<sup>st</sup> Ward BSA has a flag project. He stated that the water lines are very shallow. The scouts drove a rebar in and there was water everywhere. We need to protect the City's interests and infrastructure, we will want to visit with them.

- Tode Hafen stated that someone had mentioned that the city won't let them drill holes in the sidewalk.
- Jack Taylor stated that one of the issues is that if someone is riding their bike down the sidewalk and one of the handlebars hits the flag pole, the questions then is if the city gave their permission to put those flag in the curb, and they hit it and wreck on their bike, then all of a sudden we have a lawsuit. The city is supposed to keep everything a foot away from the sidewalk so there is that clearance.
- Herb Basso stated that the city needs to help manage this project with the scouts so that we don't have any water leaks.
- David Whitehead suggested having them change the flags on the light poles.
- Brad Hayes stated that the majority of the curb on Santa Clara Drive doesn't have sidewalk running along it. The city could allow the scouts to do that where the sidewalk isn't, against the curb.
- Herb Basso asked if the city can just visit with them and work with them and tell them the areas they can and cannot do so as to protect the city's interest.
- Tode Hafen stated that she will find out the Scout Master's name and text Brad Hays.

Ken Sizemore:

- o He stated that there is a sales tax campaign going on and they are trying to get it on the ballot. They have been proactive. They have articles in all the major newspapers. They have been good about polling local officials. He stated that he is anxiously waiting what the County Commissioners are going to do.
- Mayor Rosenberg stated that there is probably going to have to be a political push to encourage them. They want to wait until next year. He thinks it will come up again at the Mayor's meeting. There is no Mayor's Association meeting this month.

**6. Executive Session: None.**

**7. Approval of Claims and Minutes:**

- June 24, 2015 City Council Meeting Minutes
- July 1, 2015 Work Meeting Minutes
- Claims through July 8, 2015 Ken Sizemore had a question on who Eric Gentry was and what he was being paid for.
- Ed Dickie: Eric Gentry is the Court's Prosecutor

**\*\*\*\*Motion to Approve the Regular City Council Meeting Minutes from June 24, 2015, Work Meeting Minutes from July 1, 2015 and Claims through July 8, 2015 with corrections noted.**

**Motion by David Whitehead, seconded by Jerry Sizemore.**

**Voting Aye: Herb Basso, Ken Sizemore, Jerry Amundsen, David Whitehead and Tode Hafen.**

**Voting nay: None**

**Motion Carried.**

- Dan Nelson, Fire Chief, stated that Ty and his crew are head back to Carson City and then they will be home. Gabe and Mario will be taking the brush truck to Washington State. They were on the Arizona Strip. They came home for a few days. Now they are

headed to Washington State.

**8. Calendar of Events**

- July 22, 2015 City Council Meeting
- August 5, 2015 City Council Work Meeting (Will be the Animal Ordinance and City entry way signs.)
- The last meeting in August will be cancelled because of UAMPS.

**9. Adjournment:**

Motion to adjourn by Ken Sizemore .

Seconded by Herb Basso with all members present voting aye.

Meeting Adjourned at 6:40 p.m.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chris Shelley – City Recorder

Date Approved: \_\_\_\_\_