

**SANTA CLARA CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL MEETING  
WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 18, 2015  
MINUTES**

THE CITY COUNCIL FOR THE CITY OF SANTA CLARA, WASHINGTON COUNTY, UTAH, met for a Special Meeting on Wednesday, November 18, 2015, at 5:00 p.m. in the City Council Chambers of the Town Hall at 2603 Santa Clara Drive, Santa Clara, Utah.

Notice of the time, place and agenda of the meeting was provided to the Spectrum and to each member of the governing body by emailing a copy of the Notice and Agenda to the Spectrum and also, along with any packet information, to the mayor and each council member, at least two days before the meeting.

Present: Mayor Rick Rosenberg  
Council Members: David Whitehead; Jerry Amundsen; Ken Sizemore; Tode (Mary Jo) Hafen  
City Manager: Edward Dickie  
Deputy Recorder: Lisa Bundy

Others Present: Brock Jacobsen, Admin Director; Brad Hays, Parks Director; Dan Nelson, Fire Chief; Jack Taylor, Public Works Director; Matt Ence, City Attorney; Corey Bundy, Building Official; Bob Nicholson, City Planner; Greg Wilson; Julie Applegate; Jeff Fisher; Mary Jane Eager; Mike Eager; Jarett Waite; Tricia Utley; Allen Hall; Kyle Hafen; Julie Gillins

- 1. Call to Order:** Mayor Rosenberg called the meeting to order at 5: 01 p.m. Mayor welcomed everyone to the City Council Meeting. Councilman Herb Basso was excused from the meeting.

- Public Comment: Mike Eager stated that the disaster happened behind his historic place that is on the National Historic Register. He said the disaster didn't need to happen. He said he attended City Council meetings years ago. He bought his house 48 years ago and raised his family there. He said he warned the City Council years ago not to build homes on the edge of the fragile hill because: 1. It's a fragile hill. 2. It's a historic district. He said the engineers didn't realize that once the area is saturated from ground water from irrigation something has to give over time. He said that 10 years ago he wrote a letter and it's on file with the City. He said that in the letter he said this same thing. He said that the letter he wrote to the Mayor said "Would you please have the people up on the heights go to desert landscaping because this problem is escalating and I don't want the hill coming down on my property." He said he has written a letter to take to an attorney today. He said he has talked to Mayor Rosenberg about this issue. He said he isn't going to sit and do nothing. He stated that he would like to have a copy of the attorney's letter stating that this isn't the City's water. He said he has written another letter to the City. He said desert landscaping should be mandated. He said something needs to be done and he wants to know what it is and he wants it in writing. He showed the map of his property ownership and the Utley's property. He asked how many of the City Council have read his letter from 2010.

- Mayor Rosenberg said that he is not aware of a letter from 2010 but has the letters he

has submitted in the last few months. He said that there is a letter from 2005 and he will get it to Council.

- Mike Eager stated that this problem has devalued his property and it has made it difficult to sell if he decided to sell it.

- Mayor Rosenberg said that the City has been discussing how to reduce the ground water coming off the hillside so there will be more to come. He thanked Mike for coming to talk about the problem.

## **2. Working Agenda:**

### **A. Public Hearing (s):**

#### **1. Public Hearing to receive public input and to discuss or take action on the proposed Power Rate Increase.**

- Jack Taylor said that over the last few months they have reviewed the power system and the power costs. A number of months before that they asked Utility Financial Solutions to do an electrical rate study for the City. They presented it and since then there have been a number of work meetings to discuss what could be done to take care of the issues that there are. Through this study they found out that in 2016 the City will not make bond covenants. There are also revenue requirements that need to be taken care of. It was recommended in the study that there be rate adjustments. He said they needed to increase the rates so the City can make the bond covenants, have cash reserves in case of a major storm or something that happens to the power system, and also funds for replacements. He said transmission cost have gone up 65% all over the State. After looking at the study, the City Council asked to be presented three options. Staff recommendation is that the City go with Option 2. He said that it gives them a little bit more money up front. He said it's a three year increase. (See attached sheet for each option.) He said that when they built these rates a number of years ago when they went through the rate study was to make sure they didn't affect those who were on fixed incomes. The pressure was put more on the higher users. He said that with this new study they have found out that there are a number of low users that they aren't collecting the funds from that need to be collected. He said that Option 3 would take care of all the problems right off the bat.

- Tode Hafen asked if any of these options will get the job done.

- Jack Taylor said that he hopes so. He said that he believes Option 2. He feels more comfortable with Option 2 than Option 1. He said Option 1 would give the City a little over \$52,000 the first year. Option 2 gives the City over \$68,000 the first year and Option 3 would give the City \$201,000 the first year. He said they are seeing increases in their transmission and in their wholesale costs because the coal fired plants are being shut down. He said the future doesn't look bright for resources.

- Ken Sizemore said that he had a question for Brock about the bonded indebtedness on the power system. He wanted to know the cap the City was trying to cover.

- Brock Jacobsen said that it was \$150,000 to cover it but that it varies year to year.

- Jack Taylor said that the bond ratio should be 1.25 and it could go down to .85 in 2016 and that's why there is concern.

- Mayor Rosenberg stated that if it drops down to that point the bond company has the ability to call the bond and it also changes the rate and could cause higher interest rates.

- Ken Sizemore said that in their discussions that Jack compared the communities around Santa Clara and asked Jack to review those.
- Jack Taylor said that Santa Clara is not the highest in Washington County.

**5: 31 pm Public Hearing Opened.**

No public comment.

**5:32 pm Public Hearing Closed.**

B. General Business:

1. Discussion and or Approval of Ordinance 2015-15R, Power Rate Increase. Presented by Jack Taylor, Public Works Director.

- Ken Sizemore stated that they didn't have the resolution in the drop box. He wanted to know if the resolution incorporates Option 2 or which Option does it incorporate.
- Jack Taylor said that the resolution is left blank because he didn't know which Option the City Council would choose. He said that if they would have continued to have a 1% increase over the years they wouldn't have to have such a large increase now. It has been five or six years since there has been an increase.
- Ed Dickie, City Manager asked if Chris was still there and could throw a resolution in the drop box.
- Mayor Rosenberg said that there is a resolution on his desk and it refers to Exhibit A is the Option that Council selects.
- Ken Sizemore said that they have had a lot of working meeting discussions and reviewed this situation at length and done a lot of investigation and he thinks that the staff report and presentation tonight indicates that the City is comparable to other community rates and there are needs to be met. He said it is not feasible to leave the rates at the current level to meet the bond covenants and increasing operation costs that the power system requires.

**\*\*\*\*Motion to Approve Ordinance 2015-15R, Power Rate Increase and Incorporate Option 2, that Staff Recommended.**

**Motion by Ken Sizemore, seconded by Jerry Amundsen.**

**Voting Aye: Ken Sizemore, Jerry Amundsen, Tode Hafen and David Whitehead.**

**Voting Nay: None.**

**Motion Carried.**

A. Public Hearing (s):

2. Public Hearing to receive public input on proposed Ordinance 2015-20, Amendments to the Santa Clara City Code Chapter 5.08 "Alcoholic Beverages".

- Mayor Rosenberg stated that this was an ordinance change that has come about after dealing with some requests for permits.
- Matt Ence, City Attorney said that there have been over the past year license applications for alcoholic beverage permits. He said that the City's ordinance is

outdated. The States Alcoholic Beverage Ordinance has progressed and been changed beyond what Santa Clara's current ordinance reads as and it makes it difficult for applicants that are applying for a license to comply with both the City's and the State's requirements and it makes it difficult for the City's Staff to take an application and review it for completeness. The Council wanted to make revisions to try and alleviate some of those issues. He said that another practical consideration that went in to this as well is that Harmons had a particular need for alcoholic use that was not addressed in the City's current ordinance. This is with respect to their cooking school that they are planning to operate in the Harmons Store. Alcohol will be used in the cooking. Under the State code they could get a permit for that use under a special education permit. There is nothing like that in the Santa Clara City Ordinance. He said that two classes of license were eliminated in the new ordinance. He said they compared the classes of license that were left in the ordinance and compared them to the licenses that could be issued under the State code. He said as a result of that comparison they split one of the classes into two. He said they added a special use permit classification which allows for education or religious use which is consistent with State code. He said they made some clarifications and some added some additional language. He said they took out the 600 foot proximity restriction and referred to the section of the State code which has the State proximity restrictions which are 600 feet from the closest door of the establishment to the property line of the public use (a church, school, park etc.). That is measured by the closest pedestrian path or 200 feet as the crow flies. If that proximity limitation is triggered, that doesn't mean prohibition, it triggers a public hearing. The City Council then has some standards it could consider in whether it issues the license or not. The changes include a revised requirement for references, which would be three references not necessary to be residents of Santa Clara. If the applicant previously had a license in the State of Utah, those three references had to be familiar with the applicants prior activities under their alcohol license. He said they clarified some of the renewal requirements. The license has to be renewed on an annual basis. As long as nothing had changed, they don't have to come back to the City Council for a license renewal. They just have to update their application and pay their renewal fee. The Mayor or the City Council does have the discretion to pull it into the City Council for reconsideration at any point. The splitting of the two restaurant classes caused a look at the quotas. The quotas provide that there can only be a limited number of licensees at any given time. The current quota is the population of Santa Clara divided by 1,000. The proposed revision says that the total aggregate number of licenses in these two classes can't exceed that quota. He said one other change needs to be made and it will be done in the final draft and that is those class changes haven't been reflected in the fee structure. He said that they will make sure that section is consistent. The fees currently stand at \$50 per application fee which is nonrefundable and \$50 for the licensing fee which is refundable if the application is denied.

- David Whitehead had a question about the total number of Class E licenses and the quotas.

- Matt Ence said that Class E is the private club. It is allowed under the current ordinance. The changes won't affect that. The City allows someone to ask for a license as a private club. The limitation is someone can serve beer in original containers or on draft not to exceed two liters. The quota for that license would be Santa Clara population divided by 5,000.

- Tode Hafen asked if the State can't be more restrictive than the City or if it was the

other way around.

- Matt Ence said that the City can be more restrictive and it is.

**5:49 pm Public Hearing Opened.**

No Public comment.

**5:49 pm Public Hearing Closed.**

B. General Business:

2. Request Approval of proposed Ordinance 2015-20, Amendments to the Santa Clara City Code Chapter 5.08 "Alcoholic Beverages". Presented by Matt Ence, City Attorney.

**\*\*\*\*Motion to Approve Proposed Ordinance 2015-20, Amendments to the Santa Clara City Code Chapter 5.08 "Alcoholic Beverages".**

**Motion by David Whitehead, seconded by Tode Hafen.**

**Voting Aye: Jerry Amundsen, Tode Hafen, David Whitehead, Ken Sizemore.**

**Voting Nay: None.**

**Motion Carried.**

3. Presentation of the Water Conservation Plan. Presented by Julie Gillins, Water Conservatory District.

- Julie Gillins presented a power point presentation. She said that they have an update on the water conservancy plan which is required by the State to be updated every five years. Her board recommended that she go out to the City Councils and give them information. She is also looking for comments. They evaluated the categories of measures, residential and commercial. The committee met, they used a consultant to run a model of the programs. They came with a list of programs. The workers evaluated it and they came up with 28 measures to be evaluated. The current water use in 2010 was 325 gallons per person per day. The goal is set by the State is to reach 35% by 2060 which would bring it down to 285 gallons per person per day. The district is currently offering 18 programs that range from residential to commercial. There are five additional measures that can help reach the goal of 35%:

1. A billing report educational tool. 2. Commercial, Industrial and Institutional Surveys. 3. Install high efficiency fixtures in government buildings. 4. School buildings retrofit. 5. Water budgeting and monitoring. Ordinances and regulations are a hard sell. They want to focus on education and incentive. Comments will be opened up until November 24. She said she is hoping to present it to the board for adoption on December 8.

- David Whitehead asked about the per person use. He asked if that included the culinary water but not any gray water or irrigation water.

- Julie Gillins said that it is all water, anything that is metered.

- Tode Hafen asked about the education for the customers and how difficult that would be.

- Julie Gillins said that people will keep up on things if they have the education.

5. Discussion and/or Action to Approve the Final Plat for Harmon's Development. Presented by Corey Bundy, Building & Zoning Official.

- Corey Bundy said the site was approved by City Council in May 2015. Various conditions were part of the site planning approval including the building store. The final plat is similar to approved site plan. There are seven lots including four outpads which are proposed to be sold to various commercial users. The outpads were shown on the approved site plan. This is to clean up the site so these parcels can be sold in the future. Staff has reviewed the request for this parcel. Staff requests that power/water easements be installed on the plat. The engineer has installed these power/water easements. Staff recommends approval. Planning Commissions recommendation was that these were installed.

**\*\*\*\*Motion to Approve the Final Plat for Harmon's Development.**

**Motion by Jerry Amundsen, seconded by Tode Hafen.**

**Voting Aye: Jerry Amundsen, Tode Hafen, Ken Sizemore and David Whitehead.**

**Voting Nay: None.**

**Motion Carried.**

6. Request Approval of Planning Commission's recommendation for Final Plat for Pioneer Crossing Phase 3. Presented by Corey Bundy, Building & Zoning Official.

- Corey Bundy said the zone is PD Residential. It's 3.7 acres. The locations is the southwest corner of Rachel Drive and Ancestor Way. This phase if 15 lots. This is a proposed phase 3. The street improvements are already constructed. Lots range from approximately 6,800 square feet to 8,200 square feet. This phase is adjacent to the cemetery on the south and the LDS Church on the east. Common areas need improvement. Staff comments were UDOT and the City are planning various improvement projects along Highway 91 which abuts the subdivision to the west. The developer of the subdivision should complete his improvements along the west side of the subdivision in a timely manner so as not to conflict with the construction of Highway 91 activity. The second staff comment was storm water detention areas within the subdivision need landscape improvements. The subdivision HOA will maintain the common areas. The developer has to install a privacy wall between the city cemetery and the subdivision. Planning Commission looked at these Staff recommendations and recommended approval letting the developer know that it was suggested that he get in his improvements before the Hwy 91 project was complete. Staff recommends approval.

- David Whitehead asked about the wall between the cemetery and the subdivision.

- Corey Bundy said that Council approved that the wall between Village on the Heights was adequate to be used at this subdivision too. The wall would be similar.

**\*\*\*\*Motion to Approve Planning Commission's Recommendation for Final Plat for Pioneer Crossing Phase 3.**

**Motion by Tode Hafen, seconded by Jerry Amundsen.**

**Voting Aye: Tode Hafen, Jerry Amundsen, David Whitehead and Ken Sizemore.**

**Voting Nay: None.**

## **Motion Carried.**

8. Request Approval of Planning Commission's recommendation for the Preliminary Plat for Sycamores at Santa Clara Subdivision. Presented by Bob Nicholson, City Planner.

- Bob Nicholson said that this came to the Planning Commission twice and that's why it says revised preliminary plat. It is a 36 lot single family subdivision on 27.4 acres on a R1-10 zone located east of Gates Lane, south of the Santa Clara River and generally between the hillside to the south. Some property was added from the Gubler family. The development extends from the flood plain area up to the hillside. Most of the property is pretty flat. There is a wash that comes down the hill and comes in between lots 34 and 35. The storm water basin will be piped underground along the street and out to the Santa Clara river. It went to the Hillside Review Board twice. They recommend approval with the exception that they withhold any consideration right now for lots 35 and 36 and they want a grading plan. No approval is given at this time for lots 35 and 36. An updated and full drainage report be submitted to the City for review with the preliminary plat. He said that the erosion hazard boundary goes through this area. They will need to provide an erosion hazard study. The 100 year flood plain line actually goes through this tier of lots and they will need to have a flood plain development permit. The Planning Commission recommends approval with conditions that prior to the final plat coming back that three things be submitted: one is a revised drainage report, the flood plain development permit and the erosion hazard study.

- David Whitehead asked if with the flood plain development permit does that reduce or eliminate risk of legal issues if the home floods and they come back to the City and wonder why they issued a permit.

- Mayor Rosenberg stated that they are going to complete a letter of map revision of where the flood plain is. It will be legally moved. There will be a FEMA review and approval process.

- Ken Sizemore asked if it is a potential outcome that the study results could recommend a reconfiguration of lots. He wanted to know why they are approving a preliminary plat based on something that could come back and say they want to reconfigure lots.

- Mayor Rosenberg said the studies are fairly extensive and costly. The developer is confident.

- Bob Nicholson said that would be a good thing to have in a motion that if those hazard studies indicate a need for a change that would require a revised preliminary plat.

- Tode Hafen said she is going to declare a conflict of interest because the developer is her son.

**\*\*\*\*Motion to Approve the Planning Commission's Recommendation for the Preliminary Plat for Sycamores at Santa Clara Subdivision with Stipulations that if the Studies do reveal the change in the Plat that they will come back for a Revised Preliminary Plat.**

**Motion by David Whitehead, seconded by Jerry Amundsen.**

- Ken Sizemore said that with this approval they are doing away with an agricultural

piece of land which is a sparse commodity in the community. He also said he sees nine lots fronting a 100 year flood plain that in future years they could regret approving.

- David Whitehead said that he agrees with the flood plain issue. He said the problem with giving up agricultural land is that it is private property and it has been sold and it is zoned.

**Voting Aye: Jerry Amundsen and David Whitehead.**

**Voting Nay: Ken Sizemore.**

**Abstained: Tode Hafen.**

**Motion Carried.**

10. Request Approval of the Revenue Pledge Agreement of certain legally available revenues to the Tuacahn Center for the Arts and Approve Resolution 2015-16R. Presented by Jeff Fisher, Tuacahn.

- Jeff Fisher, Tuacahn said that originally they did a pledge agreement. He said that after the county made their decision to go with the pledge agreement, there was some decisions made to go with a interlocal agency range. The decisions being made are to allow Tuacahn to go to bond council and go out and get potential bond holders to purchase these bonds. He thanked the Council for their support.

- David Whitehead had a question about the RAP tax and "other sources".

- Matt Ence said that the bond holders that Tuacahn is working with would have to have a 20 year commitment. The City has to make that commitment even though the RAP tax is only for 10 years.

- Jeff Fisher said that is actually providing the City with flexibility. He said that if the RAP tax doesn't pass that gives the City flexibility of using what other funds they want to meet that need.

**\*\*\*\*Motion to Approve the Revenue Pledge Agreement of certain legally available revenues to the Tuacahn Center for the Arts and Approve Resolution 2015-16R.**

**Motion by Tode Hafen, seconded by Jerry Amundsen.**

- Matt Ence clarified that the Approval is for the Resolution which in turn approves the agreement.

**Voting Aye: Tode Hafen, Jerry Amundsen, David Whitehead, Ken Sizemore.**

**Voting Nay: None.**

**Motion Carried.**

4. Discussion and/or Approval of the City Logo Selection. Presented by Brock Jacobsen, Finance Director.

- Brock Jacobsen said the City Logo should be in the drop box. He presented the logo.

- Ed Dickie said they were able to change the entry way signs.

- Brock Jacobsen said that Bradi did a really good job putting this together.

**\*\*\*\*Motion to Approve the City Logo Selection.**

**Motion by Ken Sizemore, seconded by Tode Hafen.**

**Voting Aye: Ken Sizemore, Tode Hafen, David Whitehead and Jerry Amundsen.**

**Voting Nay: None.**

**Motion Carried.**

7. Request Approval of Planning Commission's Recommendation to amend the City General Plan to increase the potential density range in the Medium Density Residential land designation from 3-7 to 3-12 dwelling units per acre. Presented by Bob Nicholson, City Planner.
  - Bob Nicholson stated that the applicant had asked for a mixed use residential because that is the only designation in the general plan that has a density above 7. He has intent of doing commercial with it. It is a residential project. The Council talked and discussed that their ought to be a designation within the general plan for a residential project that could seek a density above 7. The Planning Commission made a recommendation that in Chapter 24, page 24 of the general plan where it says "medium density residential, density 3-7" they changed the 3-12.
  - Tode Hafen asked if it had to be in the PD.
  - Bob Nicholson said that in the zoning ordinance that is the section where that is amended.
  - Mayor Rosenberg said that this amends the general plan to permit the zone change that the Council has already allowed to happen.
  - Tode Hafen said she wants to make sure that they have the ability to monitor where that extra density goes.
  - Bob Nicholson stated that tonight they are just focusing on amending the text in this. He said they actually have to have a hearing to go back and change Kent Frei's designation.

**\*\*\*\*Motion to Approve Planning Commission's Recommendation to amend the City General Plan to increase the potential density range in the Medium Density Residential land designation from 3-7 to 3-12 dwelling units per acre.**

**Motion by Ken Sizemore, seconded by David Whitehead.**

**Voting Aye: Ken Sizemore, David Whitehead, Tode Hafen and Jerry Amundsen.**

**Voting Nay: None.**

**Motion Carried.**

9. Set date for Public Hearing for True Grit Single Event Alcohol Beverage Permit. Presented by Brad Hays, Parks Director.
  - Brad Hays stated that he has an application for the Santa Clara City Special Event Beer Permit for the True Grit Epic Mountain Bike Race which will be March 12, 2016. He said they have already worked out the details of the event but this is for the beer license to set a hearing for the license. They are looking at December 9 for the hearing if that works for the Council.
  - Ed Dickie said that would be the regular City Council meeting on December 9. He said in that meeting, we will cancel the December 23 meeting.
  - Matt Ence said that the changes that were made to the Alcohol License Ordinance tonight will not apply to this because the application was already in, they are under

the existing Ordinance.

- Brad Hays asked if the applicant has to get the five letters of recommendation from residents of Santa Clara City.
- Matt Ence stated that she does. Because she is still under the old Ordinance.
- David Whitehead asked Brad if they talked about revising the layout from the layout last year.
- Brad Hays said they are going to have the same requirements as last year. They will have a 20X20 tent that will help with some of the issues of last year. She will be at the Council meeting on December 9 to answer any questions.

**\*\*\*\*Motion to Set a date for Public Hearing for True Grit Single Event Alcohol Beverage Permit for December 9, 2015 at 5:00 pm.**

**Motion by David Whitehead, seconded by Jerry Amundsen.**

**Voting Aye: David Whitehead, Jerry Amundsen, Ken Sizemore and Tode Hafen.**

**Voting Nay: None.**

**Motion Carried.**

- Ed Dickie asked Mayor Rosenberg that the resolution that the Council passed for the power rate increase if it stated a time, an effective date.
- Mayor Rosenberg stated that it will become effective with the billing cycle beginning on or about February 15, 2016.
- Ken Sizemore stated that at Dtech today that the TAP funds for the Highway 91 project were approved.
- Jack Taylor stated that he would like the effective date to be the next billing cycle. He wanted to know if they can do that on the resolution.

**\*\*\*\*Amended Motion to Approve Ordinance 2015-15R, Power Rate Increase and Incorporate Option 2, the Staff Recommendation beginning the next Billing Cycle on or about December 15, 2015.**

**Motion by Ken Sizemore, seconded by Jerry Amundsen.**

**Voting Aye: Ken Sizemore, Jerry Amundsen, David Whitehead and Tode Hafen.**

**Voting Nay: None.**

**Motion Carried.**

- Jerry Amundsen mentioned that there was a serious accident at the skate park tonight.
- Cindy Frei, 2297 Arrowhead Circle, said that the tree on the corner of Lava Flow is hazardous.
- Mayor Rosenberg said it is a hard intersection for visibility.
- Jack Taylor mentioned that at the next meeting that Mark, who is doing the solar study will be there. He said that if the Council could meet that day at 4:00 or 4:30, he could present that solar study to the Council. The residents could be invited to come and listen to the presentation.
- Matt Ence gave an update on the litigation. He said he could mention a couple of things without going into Executive Session. He said he did hear from the attorney from Jenkins Oil this week. He said that the City should have the engineers written report this week. He will make sure the Corey Bundy and Chief Nelson gets the report. The Staff will review it and bring it back to Council. On the dike litigation, a couple of things have happened recently. The discovery phase was wrapped up over the last

month. Jack Taylor gave a deposition and it was really key. Based on information that came out with the depositions and other discovery, there have been two motions for summary judgment to dismiss filed. One by the State to have itself dismissed from the case and the City's Attorney filed a motion for summary judgment on the City's behalf. He feels like it looks pretty good. He thinks the City is in a good position with its defenses. A lot of questions that the court will have to resolve is what is the dike, where does it fit in the definitions under the law for dikes and dams, and what protections does the City have. Timing wise the City is looking at 5 or 6 months before they get a final decision on these motions. He said there are other items to be discussed but that is better left for an Executive Session.

**\*\*\*\*Motion to Change the next regularly scheduled City Council Meeting to 4:00 pm on December 9, 2015.**

**Motion by Tode Hafen, seconded by Jerry Amundsen.**

**Voting Aye: Tode Hafen, Jerry Amundsen, Ken Sizemore and David Whitehead.**

**Voting Nay: None.**

**Motion Carried.**

**3. Adjournment:**

Motion to adjourn by Jerry Amundsen.

Seconded by David Whitehead with all members present voting aye.

Meeting Adjourned at 7:09 p.m.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chris Shelley – City Recorder

Date Approved: \_\_\_\_\_